Guidelines standards. Other potential transactions involving added capacity for cellular operators might trigger antitrust review, but many of those transactions do not significantly exceed Guidelines standards, and even they might be approved after consideration of other factors.

Current FCC rules allow the formation of quite concentrated market structures, with as few as five firms. Even in this setting, however, the acquisition of added capacity by one of the existing cellular operators would not necessarily violate the structural criteria of the Merger Guidelines. Indeed, there are plausible scenarios, involving the exchange of capacity between a large PCS firm and a cellular operator, that would leave concentration unchanged, or, actually reduce it.

We conclude that, on purely structural grounds, limiting licenses for cellular operators to 35 MHz would be too rigid. Anticompetitive behavior by a single firm, where the largest firm is limited to no more than 40 MHz of bandwidth, is unlikely. Moreover, even when concentration is very high, collusion and other forms of anticompetitive behavior in the market for mobile telecommunications services are effectively inhibited by many non-structural factors.

#### Limits on Bidding for MTA Licenses

If a cellular company serves more than 10 percent of the population in any MTA, it may not bid for either of the 30 MHz, MTA-wide licenses. It is instead, limited to bidding for one 10 MHz license in each BTA in its current service territories. The basis for this limitation must be either a belief that relatively small areas, such as BTAs, constitute relevant geographic markets, or that allowing a cellular firm to hold, say, 30 MHz across an entire MTA and 55

MHz in some limited area (with more than 10 percent of the population) would threaten competition.

Absent price discrimination, BTAs are not generally relevant geographic markets; actual antitrust markets encompass broader regions. As we discussed in the section on market definition, as long as the firms cannot discriminate in pricing to subscribers in different BTAs, there should be no concern that a cellular carrier with an allocation of 55 MHz in a limited portion of a larger market could exercise market power because such a firm, either acting alone or in concert with other firms, would not be able profitably to raise prices. So long as cellular operators currently serve less than 40 percent of the population in a MTA that is also a market, allowing them to acquire a 30 MHz license would result in a share that is smaller than that of a non-cellular supplier with licenses totaling 40 MHz.

Table 3

Digital: Analog / 6:1

Cellular Operators Bandwidth Devoted to Analog: 10 MHz

Firms		Effective	Market	нн
	Bandwidth	Capacity*	Share	Contribution
Cellular 1	25	100	10.9%	118
Cellular 2	25	100	10.9%	118
3	30	180	19.6%	3 <b>83</b>
4	30	180	19.6%	3 <b>83</b>
5	20	120	13.0%	170
6	10	60	6.5%	43
7	10	60	6.5%	43
8	10	60	6.5%	43
9	10	60	6.5%	43
Totals	170	920		1,342

<sup>\*</sup> Effective Capacity is defined as bandwidth devoted to digital multiplied by the ratio of digital's advantage over analog plus bandwidth devoted to analog.

Table 4

Digital: Analog / 6:1

Cellular Operators Bandwidth Devoted to Analog: 10 MHz

Firms	Initial Bandwidth	Effective Capacity*	Market Share	HHI Contribution	Acquired Bandwidth	Final Bandwidth	Effective Capacity*	Market Share	HHI Contribution
Cellular 1	25	100	10.9%	118	10	35	160	17.4%	302
Cellular 2	25	100	10.9%	118		25	100	10.9%	118
3	30	180	19.6%	383		30	180	19.6%	3 <b>83</b>
4	30	180	19.6%	3 <b>83</b>		30	180	19.6%	3 <b>83</b>
5	20	120	13.0%	170	1	20	120	13.0%	170
6	10	60	6.5%	43		10	60	6.5%	43
7	10	60	6.5%	43		10	60	6.5%	43
8	10	60	6.5%	43		10	60	6.5%	43
9	10	60	6. <b>5%</b> .	43	-10	0	0	0.0%	0
Totals	170	920		1,342		170	920		1,484
Herfindahl-	Hirschman A	Analysis		Initial HHI Change Final HHI	142	<u>!</u>			

<sup>\*</sup> Effective Capacity is defined as bandwidth devoted to digital multiplied by the ratio of digital's advantage over analog plus bandwidth devoted to analog.

Table 5A

Digital: Analog / 6:1

Cellular Operators Bandwidth Devoted to Analog: 10 MHz

Firms	initial Bandwidth	Effective Capacity*	Market Share	HHI Contribution	Acquired Bandwidth	Final Bandwidth	Effective Capacity*	Market Share	HHI Contribution
									- CONTROLLOR
Cellular 1	35	160	17.4%	302	5	40	190	20.7%	427
Cellular 2	25	100	10.9%	118		25	100	10.9%	118
3	30	180	19.6%	3 <b>83</b>		30	180	19.6%	3 <b>83</b>
4	30	180	19.6%	3 <b>83</b>	i	30	180	19.6%	3 <b>83</b>
5	20	120	13.0%	170		20	120	13.0%	170
6	10	60	6.5%	43		10	60	6.5%	43
7	10	60	6.5%	43		10	60	6.5%	43
8	10	60	6.5%	43	-5	5	30	3. <b>3%</b>	11
Totals	170	920		1,484		170	920		1,576
Herfindahi-	Hirschman A	Analysis		Initial HHI Change	1,484 92				
1		- •		Final HHI	1,576				
l									

<sup>\*</sup> Effective Capacity is defined as bandwidth devoted to digital multiplied by the ratio of digital's advantage over analog plus bandwidth devoted to analog.

Table 5B

Digital: Analog / 6:1

Cellular Operators Bandwidth Devoted to Analog: 10 MHz

Firms	Initial	Effective	Market	нні	Acquired	Final	Effective	Market	HHI
	Bandwidth	Capacity*	Share	Contribution	Bandwidth	Bandwidth	Capacity*	Share	Contribution
Cellular 1	35	160	17.4%	302	5	40	190	20.7%	427
Cellular 2	35	160	17.4%	302		35	160	17.4%	302
3	30	180	19.6%	3 <b>83</b>		30	180	19.6%	3 <b>83</b>
4	30	180	19.6%	383		30	180	19.6%	3 <b>83</b>
5	20	120	13.0%	170	•	20	120	13.0%	170
<b>6</b> 7	10	60	6. <b>5%</b>	43		10	60	6.5%	43
7	10	60	6. <b>5%</b>	43	-5	5	30	3.3%	11
Totals	170	920		1,626		170	920		1,7 <b>18</b>
Herfindahl-	Hirschman A	Analysis		Initial HHI Change					
		<b>,</b>		Final HHI					

<sup>\*</sup> Effective Capacity is defined as bandwidth devoted to digital multiplied by the ratio of digital's advantage over analog plus bandwidth devoted to analog.

Table 6

Digital: Analog / 6:1

Cellular Operators Bandwidth Devoted to Analog: 10 MHz

Firms		Effective	Market	HHI
	Bandwidth	Capacity*	Share	Contribution
Cellular 1	35	160	17.4%	302
Cellular 2	35	160	17.4%	302
3	40	240	26.1%	681
4	40	240	26.1%	681
5	20	120	13.0%	170
Totals	170	920		2,136

<sup>\*</sup> Effective Capacity is defined as bandwidth devoted to digital multiplied by the ratio of digital's advantage over analog plus bandwidth devoted to analog.

Table 7

Digital: Analog / 6:1

Cellular Operators Bandwidth Devoted to Analog: 10 MHz

Firms	Initial Bandwidth	Effective Capacity*	Market Share	HHI Contribution	Acquired Bandwidth	Final Bandwidth	Effective Capacity*	Market Share	HHI Contribution
Cellular 1 Cellular 2 3 4 5	35 35 40 40 20	160 160 240 240 120	17.4% 17.4% 26.1% 26.1% 13.0%	302 302 681 681 170	-5	40 35 40 40 15	190 160 240 240 90	20.7% 17.4% 26.1% 26.1% 9.8%	427 302 681 681 96
Totals	170	920		2,136		170	920		2,1 <b>86</b>
Herfindahi	Hirschman A	Analysis		Initial HHI Change Final HHI	50	<u>)</u>			

<sup>\*</sup> Effective Capacity is defined as bandwidth devoted to digital multiplied by the ratio of digital's advantage over analog plus bandwidth devoted to analog.

Table 8

Digital : Analog / 6 : 1

Cellular Operators Bandwidth Devoted to Analog: 10 MHz

Firms	Initial Bandwidth	Effective Capacity*	Market Share	HHI Contribution	Acquired Bandwidth	Final Bandwidth	Effective Capacity*	Market Share	HHI Contribution
Cellular 1 Cellular 2 3 4 5	35 35 40 40 20	160 160 240 240 120	17.4% 17.4% 26.1% 26.1% 13.0%	302 302 681 681 170	5 -5	40 35 35 40 20	190 160 210 240 120	20.7% 17.4% 22.8% 26.1% 13.0%	427 302 521 681 170
Totals	170	920		2,136		170	920		2,101
Herfindahi-	Hirschman A	Analysis	-	Initial HHI Change Final HHI	2,136 -35 2,101	<u>_</u>			

<sup>\*</sup> Effective Capacity is defined as bandwidth devoted to digital multiplied by the ratio of digital's advantage over analog plus bandwidth devoted to analog.

<u>Table 9</u>

<u>HHI Calculations</u>

Digital: Analog / 6: 1

	W	ith Analog Ha	ndicap (10N	IHz)	Without Analog Handicap					
Firms	Bandwidth	Effective Capacity*	Market Share	HHI Contribution	Bandwidth	Effective Capacity*	Market Share	HHI Contribution		
Cellular 1	25	100	10.9%	118	25	150	14.7%	216		
Cellular 2	25	100	10.9%	118	25	150	14.7%	216		
3	30	180	19.6%	383	30	180	17.6%	311		
4	30	180	19.6%	383	30	180	17.6%	311		
5	20	120	13.0%	170	20	120	11.8%	138		
6 7	10	60	6.5%	43	10	60	5.9%	35		
7	10	60	6.5%	43	10	60	5.9%	35		
8	10	60	6.5%	43	10	60	5.9%	35		
9	10	60	6.5%	43	10	60	5.9%	35		
Totals	170	920		1,342	170	1,020		1,332		
terfindahl-t	lirschman An	alysis		1,342				1,332		

<sup>\*</sup> Effective Capacity is defined as bandwidth devoted to digital multiplied by the ratio of digital's advantage over analog plus bandwidth devoted to analog.

Table 10

Digital: Analog / 1:1
Entry of Two SMRs with 5 MHz Each

	F	re-SMR En	itry	Po	st-SMR Er	ntry	
Firms		Market	HHI		Market	нні	
	Bandwidth	Share	Contribution	Bandwidth	Share	Contribution	
Cellular 1	25	14.7%	216	25	13.9%	193	
Cellular 2	25	14.7%	216	25	13.9%	193	
3	30	17.6%	311	30	16.7%	278	
4	30	17.6%	311	30	16.7%	278	
5	20	11.8%	138	20	11.1%	123	
6	10	5.9%	<b>35</b>	10	5.6%	31	
7	10	5.9%	35	10	5.6%	31	
8	10	5.9%	35	10	5.6%	31	
9	10	5.9%	<b>35</b>	10	5. <b>6%</b>	31	
SMR 10				5	2.8%	8	
SMR 11				5	2.8%	8	
Totals	170		1,332	180		1,204	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	170	alysis	1,332	180		1,204	

Table 11A

Digital: Analog / 1:1 Entry of One SMR with 10 MHz

	Р	re-SMR En	try	Po	st-SMR Er	itry
Firms		Market	нні		Market	HHI
	Bandwidth	Share	Contribution	Bandwidth	Share	Contribution
Cellular 1	35	20.6%	424	35	19.4%	378
Cellular 2	35	20.6%	424	35	19.4%	<b>378</b>
3	40	23.5%	5 <b>54</b>	40	22.2%	494
4	40	23.5%	5 <b>54</b>	40	22.2%	494
5	20	11.8%	138	20	11.1%	123
SMR 6				10	5. <b>6%</b>	31
Totals	170		2,093	180	•	1,898
Herfindahl-	lirschman An	alysis	2,093			1,898

Table 11B

# HHI Calculations Digital: Analog / 1:1

Digital: Analog / 1:1
One SMR with 10 MHz

Initial	Market	HHI	Acquired	Final	Market	HHI
Bandwidth	Share	Contribution	Bandwidth	Bandwidth	Share	Contribution
35	19.4%	378	5	40	22.2%	494
35	19.4%	378		35	19.4%	378
40	22.2%	494		40	22.2%	494
40	22.2%	494		40	22.2%	494
20	11.1%	123		20	11.1%	123
10	5.6%	31	-5	5	2.8%	8
180		1,898		180		1,991
lirschman An	alvsis	Initial HHI Change	-			
	<b>,</b>	Final HHI	1,991	-		
	35 35 40 40 20 10	35 19.4% 35 19.4% 40 22.2% 40 22.2% 20 11.1% 10 5.6%	Bandwidth         Share         Contribution           35         19.4%         378           35         19.4%         378           40         22.2%         494           40         22.2%         494           20         11.1%         123           10         5.6%         31   180 1,898 Initial HHI Change	Bandwidth         Share         Contribution         Bandwidth           35         19.4%         378         5           35         19.4%         378         40           40         22.2%         494         40           20         11.1%         123         10           10         5.6%         31         -5   Initial HHI Change 93	Bandwidth         Share         Contribution         Bandwidth         Bandwidth           35         19.4%         378         5         40           35         19.4%         378         35         40           40         22.2%         494         40         40           20         11.1%         123         20         20           10         5.6%         31         -5         5	Bandwidth         Share         Contribution         Bandwidth         Bandwidth         Share           35         19.4%         378         5         40         22.2%           35         19.4%         378         35         19.4%           40         22.2%         494         40         22.2%           40         22.2%         494         40         22.2%           20         11.1%         123         20         11.1%           10         5.6%         31         -5         5         2.8%    Initial HHI  1,898  Change  93

Table 12

CTIA PROPOSAL

HHI Calculations
Digital: Analog / 6:1

	W	ith Analog Ha	ndicap (10N	lHz)		Without Anal	og Handicap	)
Firms		Effective	Market	HHI		Effective	Market	HHI
	Bandwidth	Capacity*	Share	Contribution	Bandwidth	Capacity*	Share	Contribution
Cellular 1	25	100	10.9%	118	25	150	14.7%	216
Cellular 2	25	100	10.9%	118	25	150	14.7%	216
3	20	120	13.0%	170	20	120	11.8%	138
4	20	120	13.0%	170	20	120	11.8%	138
5	20	120	13.0%	170	20	120	11.8%	138
6	20	120	13.0%	170	20	120	11.8%	138
7	10	60	6.5%	43	10	60	5. <b>9%</b>	35
8	10	60	6.5%	43	10	60	5.9%	35
9	10	60	6.5%	43	10	60	5.9%	35
10	10	60	6.5%	43	10	60	5.9%	35
Totals	170	920		1,087	170	1,020		1,125
Herfindahi-	Hirschman An	alysis		1,087				1,125

<sup>\*</sup> Effective Capacity is defined as bandwidth devoted to digital multiplied by the ratio of digital's advantage over analogical plus bandwidth devoted to analog.

Source: Charles River Associates.